Application No.

Applicant(s)

Interview Summary 08/374,279 Examiner

Chung-wai Chiu, et al.

Lynn Hailey

Group Art Unit 1106

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):	
(1) Lynn Hailey	(3)
(2) Margaret Kelley	
Date of Interview Sep 2 and 3, 1997	<u> </u>
Type: X Telephonic Personal (copy is given to	☐ applicant ☐ applicant's representative).
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted:   Yes  No. If yes, brief description:	
Agreement 🛛 was reached. 🗌 was not reached.	
Claim(s) discussed: 1-34  Identification of prior art discussed:  None	
Also, Exr. Hailey requested authorization to cancel non-e	Terminal Disclaimer accompanying the Request for ned in the Request. Ms. Kelley indicated that she would fax a
(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments, if available, which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendents which would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)	
1. X It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview.	
Unless the paragraph above has been checked to indicate to the contrary, A FORMAL WRITTEN RESPONSE TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION IS NOT WAIVED AND MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a response to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW.	
each of the objections, rejections and requirement claims are now allowable, this completed form is	(including any attachments) reflects a complete response to nts that may be present in the last Office action, and since the sconsidered to fulfill the response requirements of the last viding a separate record of the interview unless box 1 above
Examiner Note: You must sign and stamp this form unless it is an	attachment to a signed Office action.